



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/796,078

03/10/2004

Yuan-Chin Liu

TAIW 216

4258

7590 05/08/2007
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C.
Suite 500
1101 14 Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER

MORRISON, THOMAS A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3653

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/08/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,078	LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Robert Berdo (Reg. No. 38,075).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

21-23 and 25-29

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 PATRICK MACKEY
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Mr. Robert Berdo (applicant's representative) on 5/4/2007 and was unable to reach him. Thus, the examiner left a message indicating that applicant's amendment after final rejection dated 4/18/2007 will be entered. The examiner is making a final determination as to allowability of this case and will mail an Office Action in the near future.